

# UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO. FILING DATE		FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.	
09/744,152	_	02/08/2001	Leigh T Canham	124-821	3931	
23117	7590	12/18/2003		EXAMINER		
		RHYE, PC	DEO, DUY VU NGUYEN			
1100 N GLEBE ROAD 8TH FLOOR				ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	
ARLINGTO	ON, VA	22201-4714	1765			
				DATE MAILED: 12/18/2003		

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

		Application	No.	Applicant(s)				
	•	09/744,152		CANHAM ET AL.				
Office Action Su	Examiner		Art Unit					
		DuyVu n Dec	)	1765	100			
The MAILING DATE of	this communication app	pears on the c	over sheet with the c	orrespondence addre	:33 <del></del>			
Period for Reply  A SHORTENED STATUTOR	Y PERIOD FOR REPLY	Y IS SET TO	EXPIRE 3 MONTH	S) FROM				
THE MAILING DATE OF THIS  - Extensions of time may be available un after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing  - If the period for reply specified above is  - If NO period for reply is specified above  - Failure to reply within the set or extend  - Any reply received by the Office later the earned patent term adjustment. See 3	S COMMUNICATION. der the provisions of 37 CFR 1.1: provided and the desired of this communication. stess than thirty (30) days, a replye, the maximum statutory period of the deduction of the period for reply will, by statute than three months after the mailing three months after	36(a). In no event, ly within the statutor will apply and will e	however, may a reply be ting ry minimum of thirty (30) day xpire SIX (6) MONTHS from tion to become ABANDONE	nely filed  /s will be considered timely. I the mailing date of this comn  TO (35 U.S.C. § 133).	nunication.			
Status  1)⊠ Responsive to commur	nication(s) filed on 19 M	1ay 2003.						
1) ⋉ Responsive to communication (2a) ☑ This action is <b>FINAL</b> .		action is non	-final.					
2) Cinco this application is	which determine the players of the property of the merits is							
Disposition of Claims								
4)⊠ Claim(s) <u>1,3-8,10-17 a</u>	<u>nd 19-35</u> is/are pending	g in the applic	ation.					
4a) Of the above claim	(s) is/are withdra	wn from cons	sideration.					
5) Claim(s) is/are a		4						
6) Claim(s) <u>1,3-8,10-17 a</u>		u.						
7) Claim(s) is/are	objected to.	nr election rec	suirement.					
8) Claim(s) are su	oject to restriction and/	01 61601101116(	4a.1 011101116					
Application Papers		or						
9) The specification is obj	ected to by the Examin	ier. cented or b\F	Tobiected to by the	Examiner.				
10) The drawing(s) filed on	is/are: a)∐ acc st that any objection to the	echien oi n)⊏	held in abevance. Se	e 37 CFR 1.85(a).				
Applicant may not reques	st that any objection to the leet(s) including the correct	ction is required	d if the drawing(s) is o	bjected to. See 37 CFF	₹ 1.121(d).			
11) The oath or declaration	is objected to by the E	Examiner. Not	e the attached Offic	e Action or form PTC	)-152.			
Priority under 35 U.S.C. §§ 119	and 120							
12) Acknowledgment is m a) All b) Some * c) 1. Certified copies 2. Certified copies 3 Copies of the ce	ade of a claim for foreign None of:  of the priority documer of the priority documer entified copies of the priority documer at the International Bureated Office action for a list de of a claim for domestic was included in the first domestic of a claim for domestic the foreign language page of a claim for domestic the foreign language page of a claim for domestic the foreign language page of a claim for domestic the foreign language page of a claim for domestic the foreign language page of a claim for domestic the foreign language page of a claim for domestic the foreign language page of a claim for domestic the foreign language page of a claim for domestic the foreign language page of a claim for domestic the foreign language page of a claim for domestic the foreign language page of a claim for domestic the foreign language page of a claim for domestic the foreign language page of a claim for domestic the foreign language page of the priority document and the language page of the languag	nts have been nts have been to ity documer au (PCT Rule st of the certificatic priority un first sentence provisional appartic priority un	n received. In received in Applications have been received 17.2(a)). Ited copies not received 15.C. § 119 of the specification polication has been received 35.U.S.C. §§ 12.	ation No  ved in this National S  ved.  8(e) (to a provisional a  or in an Application E  eceived.  20 and/or 121 since a	application) Data Sheet. a specific			
Adda ab and/a\								
Attachment(s)  1) Notice of References Cited (PTC 2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent I 3) Information Disclosure Statemen	Orawing Review (PTO-948)	1.6h	4) Interview Summa 5) Notice of Informa 6) Other:	ary (PTO-413) Paper No(s Il Patent Application (PTO	) · -152)			

Application/Control Number: 09/744,152

Art Unit: 1765

### **DETAILED ACTION**

### Election/Restrictions

1. Applicant's election of claims 1-35 and canceling of non-elective claim 36 in Paper No. 8 is acknowledged.

# Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

2. The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless -

- (b) the invention was patented or described in a printed publication in this or a foreign country or in public use or on sale in this country, more than one year prior to the date of application for patent in the United States.
- 3. Claims 1, 3-8, 10-16 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as being anticipated by Ginavan et al. (US 5,457,041).

In regard to claims 1-15, Ginavan discloses a method for providing a silicon micro needle (col. 8, lines 52-62), the micro needle having a base adjoining a substrate (see fig. 1, where "16" is the substrate and "12" is the micro needle, which adjoins the substrate through its "base" or lower portion; see also col. 7, lines 3-7); a tip remote from the base (col. 7, line 14 and also fig. 1, where it is shown that needle tips "28" are on the opposite side of the base, or "remote from said base"); and a region between the tip and the base (see fig. 1, the region between tip "28" and the base adjoining substrate "16"; see also col. 7, lines 55-67), which reads on applicant's "duc", and "wherein the duct passes substantially between the tip and the base"; and wherein the method comprises providing said duct (col. 7, lines 55-67 and fig. 7) and selectively removing the substrate from around the duct to provide the micro needles "coincident" with the duct (col. 8, lines 59-67 and col. 9, lines 1-29). Furthermore, Ginavan discloses the use of a mask (col. 9,

Application/Control Number: 09/744,152

Art Unit: 1765

lines 14-29), the use of plasma etching, anisotropic etching, etching with a resist mask and several other etching procedures for the removal of material (col. 9, lines 55-65).

In regard to claim 16, it is noted that figs 1-5 show that the walls of micro needle "12" can be flat, pointed, jagged, concave, or hollow (see also col. 7, lines 53-65) and it is believed that some of these different shapes read on applicant's limitation that the micro needles are "inclined to a plane that is perpendicular to the substrate."

### Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

- 4. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:
  - (a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.
- 5. Claims 17, 19-35 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Ginavan as applied to claims 1, 3-8, 10-16 above, and further in view of Busta et al. (US 5,137,817).

In regard to claims 17-35, these claims differ from claims 1, 3-8, 10-16 above by adding the limitation that the base of the micro needle adjoins a first material, while the duct is lined with a second material, thereby forming a two-material micro needle.

Ginavan does not disclose a two-material micro needle as the claimed invention does. Busta discloses the formation of what he calls "electrodes" which read on applicant's "micro needles", and discloses that they adjoin a first material, are lined by a second material and are thereby formed of the two materials (col. 10, lines 51-68 and col. 1, lines 1-62). Busta teaches that the use of two materials for the micro needle allow for lower voltage requirements, easier access to their targets and rapid treatment times (col. 4, lines 20-44). Furthermore, Busta

Application/Control Number: 09/744,152

Art Unit: 1765

discloses that the duct of the electrode or micro needle is linked to a reservoir (col. 11, line 5-10, and 25-31).

It is examiner's position that it would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time of the invention to modify Ginavan by utilizing two materials for the formation of the micro needles, as per Busta, because this is a well known procedure in the art and, furthermore, because this modification could result in lower voltage requirements, easier access to their targets and rapid treatment times, which is extremely desirable.

## Response to Arguments

6. Applicant's arguments filed 5/19/03 have been fully considered but they are not persuasive.

In response to applicant's arguments, the recitation a duct passing from said base to said tip has not been given patentable weight because the recitation occurs in the preamble. A preamble is generally not accorded any patentable weight where it merely recites the purpose of a process or the intended use of a structure, and where the body of the claim does not depend on the preamble for completeness but, instead, the process steps or structural limitations are able to stand alone. See *In re Hirao*, 535 F.2d 67, 190 USPQ 15 (CCPA 1976) and *Kropa v. Robie*, 187 F.2d 150, 152, 88 USPQ 478, 481 (CCPA 1951).

Referring to applicant's argument that there is no reason or motivation for one skill in the art to combine teaching of Busta's electrodes with the micro needle in Ginavan. As show by Busta in fig. 4C and col. 10, lines 39-50, these points electrodes are micro needles for carrying cell transformant and transfer to target cells. The micro needle taught by Ginavan also to carry biological substances for transferring into target cells (col. 4, line 11-15). Therefore, at the time

Art Unit: 1765

of the invention, one skill in the art would find it obvious to combine teaching of Busta with Ginava because this is a well known procedure in the art and, furthermore, this modification could result in lower voltage requirements, easier access to their targets and rapid treatment times according to Busta col. 4, lines 20-44, which is extremely desirable.

### Conclusion

THIS ACTION IS MADE FINAL. Applicant is reminded of the extension of time 7. policy as set forth in 37 CFR 1.136(a).

A shortened statutory period for reply to this final action is set to expire THREE MONTHS from the mailing date of this action. In the event a first reply is filed within TWO MONTHS of the mailing date of this final action and the advisory action is not mailed until after the end of the THREE-MONTH shortened statutory period, then the shortened statutory period will expire on the date the advisory action is mailed, and any extension fee pursuant to 37 CFR 1.136(a) will be calculated from the mailing date of the advisory action. In no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later than SIX MONTHS from the mailing date of this final action.

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to DuyVu n Deo whose telephone number is 571-272-1462.

DVD 12/12/03

SUPERVISOR